

From: hellums@yahoo.com@inetgw
To: Microsoft ATR,hellums@yahoo.com@inetgw
Date: 12/3/01 5:20pm
Subject: MS Antitrust Suit

I appreciate that the DoJ is seeking new comments on the Microsoft antitrust situation. My insight and comments as a customer and proponent for holding Microsoft accountable are as follows:

Why does Microsoft build players, plug-ins, and applications that work on HP Unix, but not on Linux? Netscape and Real Networks build applications that run on Unix, Linux, Windows, and NT, and they are significantly less well "heeled" than Microsoft...

Why does Microsoft not support file systems of other operating systems, such as Linux (ext2) or MacOS? Linux supports Microsoft file systems, and the open source movement has much less resources, funding, and corporate backing than Microsoft...

Why is Linux the last Unix-based operating system Microsoft targets for the few applications it decides to port to other OSES, when Linux is the most widely used of all Unix-based OSES? Netscape, Apple, and Real Networks offer releases on all these operating systems almost simultaneously...

Why do major vendors, such as Compaq, build their hardware to work specifically with a certain version of Windows, thus requiring a new PC purchase to obtain the benefits of a new Windows version? I can install all versions of Red Hat Linux on my Compaq laptop, but the BIOS explicitly prevents my modem from working with Windows 2000 (even though the PC is listed on the 2000 hardware compatibility list)...

Why do Windows operating systems not recognize partitions dedicated to other (competing) operating systems? Install Windows on a PC with Linux on it and you will generally lose your boot sector pointer to the Linux partition, while Linux during installation will notice Windows operating systems on partitions and seamlessly allow you to boot from and use those other operating systems...

Why is it that Microsoft has so easily been successful in preventing hardware vendors from openly supporting

other operating systems? Up until a few years ago, you could not buy a Compaq or HP server or desktop with Linux installed on it, due to the exclusive license agreements they secured, and to this date most companies have a robust Linux offering even though it's generally well hidden within their site (in the /linux directory, etc., with little or no associated advertising or direct links to it from their main page)...

Why is it that when you buy hard drives and other peripherals, they explicitly say on the package that Windows 98 (or other Microsoft OS) is REQUIRED, or that it was "designed for" Windows? A hard drive is a hard drive, and I have had no problems installing any version of Red Hat Linux on any of these hardware devices, with or without Windows installed...

Why is it that the direct experience of countless IT shops (and off the record Oracle comments and opinions) is that Oracle on a Linux server, other hardware being equal, outperforms Oracle on NT/2000 by orders of magnitude, and yet there have been no industry efforts (especially by Oracle, who may be getting their arm twisted) to prove it using any of the many metrics they have for doing so? Instead, they continue to compare Oracle on HP or Sun to Oracle on NT/2000...

Why is it that Microsoft was successful in getting DoD to modify (water down) their DII-COE standards to allow NT to be certified, but there has been little or no effort to provide the same level of certification to Linux so they can get the all important "seal of approval" to compete against Microsoft in one of the most lucrative sectors of IT spending (the US government)? The Air Force has done studies proving that DII-COE compliance would be straightforward for Linux, but nobody is leading the charge to make it happen, so Microsoft maintains their stranglehold on the market...

Why is it that Microsoft is once again allowed to "embrace and extend (choke to death)" evolving standards, such as the Sharable Content Object Reference Model (SCORM) being pursued by the DoD? The SCORM standard is inherently non-OS and non-browser dependent, but along comes a well-heeled Microsoft-led consortium to develop a competing standard "CLEO"

that, surprisingly enough, ONLY WORKS WITH MICROSOFT
INTERNET EXPLORER!!!...

The stranglehold Microsoft has on the market has resulted in some of the worst dichotomies of our times. The DoD loses hundreds of millions, possibly billions of dollars per year, due to down time and cleanup of Internet Explorer and Outlook related viruses that proliferate due to the widely known poor security models of Microsoft products designed to be "user friendly" rather than secure. However, DoD continues to use both widely, rather than the much more secure and relatively trouble-free Netscape products. They have this stranglehold because the DoJ has been incapable, and recently unwilling (since the election and rise to power of our business-friendly Republican government), to hold Microsoft accountable.

Everyone in the marketplace knows Microsoft dearly deserves to have their ears slapped back some, and that in the long run the market will be much better off for it, despite any near-term impacts. Based on his direct quotes in the trades, and the fact that he brought forth a class action lawsuit, the Compaq CEO was clearly livid about the way his company was being manipulated in the early 90's by Microsoft, but his memory became extremely fuzzy on the issue during the trial--amazing how that can happen after you realize a few years later that being "in bed" with Microsoft is not exactly a bad thing for your company, its stock price, your stock options, etc. However, the lucrative nature of these relationships, and the ensuing unwillingness of those bringing suit to hold their course, should not prevent DoJ from attempting to do the right thing and hold Microsoft accountable for breaking the antitrust laws, where it can be proven they clearly are doing so.

Thanks,
Duane Hellums
IT guru, writer, and independent consultant
MSIS, Hawaii Pacific University
Author, "Red Hat Linux Installation and Configuration Handbook," "Frong Page Unleashed," and several
Microsoft competitive white papers
IT/IS Program Manager, Programmer, Network/System
Administrator, Computer Security Manager

Do You Yahoo!?

Buy the perfect holiday gifts at Yahoo! Shopping.
<http://shopping.yahoo.com>